

SAGE III/ISS virtual meeting

14.07.2023

Stratospheric aerosol size reduction after volcanic eruptions

Authors: Felix Wrana (speaker)¹, Ulrike Niemeier², Larry W. Thomason³, Sandra Wallis¹, Christian von Savigny¹

¹ Institute of Physics, University of Greifswald, Germany
 ² Max Planck Institute for Meteorology, Hamburg, Germany
 ³ NASA Langley Research Center, Hampton, Virginia, USA

Correspondence: felix.wrana@uni-greifswald.de



1. Overview VolImpact research group

2. Aerosol size retrieval method

3. Aerosol size reduction after smaller volcanic eruptions

4. Model simulations of Raikoke/Ulawun

5. Short look at Hunga Tonga – Hunga Ha'apai



The VOLIMPACT projects



- Phase I: 2019 2022
- Phase II: 2022 2025

 Important: Synergy between global modelling (ICON-Family & MA-ECHAM) and satellite observations (Algorithm development & usage of other data products)

1. VolImpact: Some results from recent eruptions



4



Malinina et al., ACP (2021)

 Important for good agreement: Good knowledge of the injected SO₂ amount, injection height & also dynamics



Muser et al., ACP (2020)

Essential roles of the ash and aerosol aging in self-lofting

1. VolImpact publications on optical phenomena



On the phenomenon of the blue Sun



Wullenweber et al., Clim. Past (2021)

Is it possible to estimate aerosol optical depth from historic colour paintings?

> 1991 (Chile) von Savigny et al., Clim. Past (2022)

On the colour of noctiluent clouds



Revisiting the question "Why is the sky blue?"



Lange et al., Appl. Opt. (2023), subm. 5

1. Faces of VolImpact



People associated with VolImpact phase I:





1. Overview VolImpact research group

2. Aerosol size retrieval method

3. Aerosol size reduction after smaller volcanic eruptions

4. Model simulations of Raikoke/Ulawun

5. Short look at Hunga Tonga – Hunga Ha'apai





SAGE III on the International space station. Source: NASA (https://go.nasa.gov/3FCGR1J)

- Retrieval of stratospheric aerosol size from SAGE III/ISS (solar occultation)
- Latitudinal coverage ~ between 70°N and 70°S
- Limited spatial and temporal coverage
- Retrieval uses aerosol extinction coefficients at 3 wavelengths



Latitudinal coverage of SAGE III/ISS solar occultation measurements, exemplary for 2018.



Assumption: Monomodal lognormal size distribution

$$\frac{dN(r)}{dr} = \frac{N_0}{\sqrt{2\pi} \cdot r \cdot ln\sigma} \cdot exp(-\frac{ln^2(r/r_{med})}{2ln^2\sigma})$$

Needs to be retrieved:

- Median radius r_{med}
- Distribution width $\boldsymbol{\sigma}$
- Number density N₀



Exemplary monomodal lognormal particle size distribution. Red line marks the median radius (100 nm). Paper on the retrieval method published in Atmospheric Measurement Techniques (AMT)

doi: 10.5194/amt-14-2345-2021

Research article | 🞯 🖲

Retrieval of stratospheric aerosol size distribution parameters using satellite solar occultation measurements at three wavelengths

Felix Wrana \boxdot , Christian von Savigny, Jacob Zalach, and Larry W. Thomason

26 Mar 2021







- Lookup table with extinction ratios at 3 wavelengths for many combinations of median radius and mode width
- Calculated with Mie Code
 - Assumed aerosol composition: 75% sulfuric acid and ~25% water
 - Assumed shape of size distribution: monomodal lognormal







- Lookup table with extinction ratios at 3 wavelengths for many combinations of median radius and mode width
- Calculated with Mie Code
 - Assumed aerosol composition: 75% sulfuric acid and ~25% water
 - Assumed shape of size distribution: monomodal lognormal



- Plot measurement data into the lookup table (right plot)
- \rightarrow Retrieval of r_{med} and σ through interpolation
- N, r_{eff}, etc. can be calculated afterwards





- Best wavelengths: 449, 756 and 1544 nm
- Bad example: Wavelengths: 384, 449, 520 nm
- The **broad wavelength spectrum** of SAGE III instruments is important for this method!

2. Using three vs two wavelengths



- The 3-wavelength retrieval approach is important to learn how stratospheric aerosol size evolves over time because:
- if only 2 wavelengths (like it was necessary for e.g. SAGE II) were to be used:
 → σ would have to be assumed, often at ~1.5 1.6
- However, σ is very variable (e.g. \sim 1.25 for Hunga Tonga)
- Wrong σ assumption can lead to very different PSDs! \rightarrow see plots to the right



Different PSDs, but each one is consistent with the same extinction ratio at 449 nm / 756 nm of 2.0.



Same plot, but PSDs scaled to same number density for visual clarity.

2. Using three vs two wavelengths



- The 3-wavelength retrieval approach is important to learn how stratospheric aerosol size evolves over time because:
- if only 2 wavelengths (like it was necessary for e.g. SAGE II) were to be used:
 → σ would have to be assumed, often at ~1.5 1.6
- However, σ is very variable (e.g. \sim 1.25 for Hunga Tonga)
- Wrong σ assumption can lead to very different PSDs! \rightarrow see plots to the right



Different PSDs, but each one is consistent with the same extinction ratio at 449 nm / 756 nm of 2.0.





Same plot, but PSDs scaled to same number density for visual clarity.



VOLIMPACT

- Distribution width σ often misunderstood \rightarrow not useful to understand how wide the size distribution is
- Instead absolute distribution width $\boldsymbol{\omega}$ will be shown:

$$\omega = \sqrt{r_{med}^2 \cdot exp(ln^2(\sigma)) \cdot (exp(ln^2(\sigma)) - 1)}$$

- ω , as introduced by Malinina et al. (2018), is the standard deviation of the PSD in linear radius space
- Will be shown in results instead of $\boldsymbol{\sigma}$



Three exemplary monomodal log-normal size distributions to illustrate relation between ω instead of σ



1. Overview VolImpact research group

2. Aerosol size retrieval method

3. Aerosol size reduction after smaller volcanic eruptions

4. Model simulations of Raikoke/Ulawun

5. Short look at Hunga Tonga – Hunga Ha'apai



Results to be shown are submitted to Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics (ACP):

@**(**)

04 May 2023

Stratospheric aerosol size reduction after volcanic eruptions

Felix Wrana 🖂, Ulrike Niemeier, Larry W. Thomason, Sandra Wallis, and Christian von Savigny

doi: 10.5194/egusphere-2023-837

3. Strat. aerosol size reduction



On next slides we will look at 3 phases of volcanic activity in SAGE III/ISS data:

		Latitude	Longitude	Date	SO ₂ emission estimate
1	Ambae 1	15°S	168°E	March – April 2018	$0.1\mathrm{Tg}$
	Ambae 2			July 2018	$0.4\mathrm{Tg}$
2	Raikoke	48°N	153°E	June, 21 st /22 nd 2019	1.37 Tg
	Ulawun 1	5°S	151°E	June, 26 th 2019	$0.14\mathrm{Tg}$
	Ulawun 2			August, 3 rd 2019	0.3 Tg
3	La Soufrière	13°N	61°W	April, 9 th – 22 nd 2021	0.4 Tg

Aerosol extinction: Tropics

Aerosol extinction: Northern hemisphere



Ambae (15 °S) eruptions



 Ambae (15°S) had 2 main eruptive phases relevant for the stratosphere: In April and in July 2018

→ Size decrease
 (darker colors) in
 lowermost
 stratosphere
 → narrower Particle
 size distribution
 (PSD) with peak at
 smaller radius

 Effect lasts for many months!



Raikoke (48 °N) and Ulawun (5 °S) eruptions

•

•

Ulawun!





La Soufrière (13 °N) eruption





 La Soufrière eruption: April 9th 2021

> → Size **decrease** similar to Ambae eruption

Short summary



Ambae, Ulawun and La Soufrière

- Strong decrease in median radius and absolute distribution width
- Strong increase in number density
- SO₂ injections 0.1-0.4 Tg
- Tropical latitudes → lower temperatures

<u>Raikoke</u>

- Increase in median radius and absolute distribution width
- Increase in number density
- SO₂ injection of 1.37 Tg
- Mid latitude

Possible explanation for size decrease:

Enhanced homogeneous nucleation as opposed to condensation onto existing particles

Factors controlling nucleation vs condensation:

- Temperature
- Background aerosol PSD
- SO₂ mass injected / H₂SO₄ oversaturation



1. Overview VolImpact research group

2. Aerosol size retrieval method

3. Aerosol size reduction after smaller volcanic eruptions

4. Model simulations of Raikoke/Ulawun

5. Short look at Hunga Tonga – Hunga Ha'apai



C<u>omparison to model simulations</u>

- MAECHAM5-HAM = general circulation model coupled with aerosol microphysical model
- ECHAM includes stratospheric sulfur chemistry and aerosol microphysics (nucleation, coagulation etc.)
- ECHAM model simulations in this work set up by Ulrike Niemeier (Max-Planck Institut für Meteorologie Hamburg)
- Can the ECHAM model be used to understand the underlying causes of the aerosol size decrease?

4. Model simulations of Raikoke/Ulawun



- Test case chosen: Raikoke and Ulawun eruptions of 2019
 - Reminder: both had opposite effects on stratospheric aerosol size
- A vertically resolved profile of SO₂ masses is injected into the lower stratosphere for each eruption

	Raikoke	Ulawun	
Latitude	48°N	5°S	
Longitude	153°E	151°E	
Date of eruption	22.06.2019	26.06.2019	03.08.2019
Injected SO2 mass	1.37 Tg	$0.14\mathrm{Tg}$	0.3 Tg
Injection Pressure	140 hPa	$100\mathrm{hPa}$	90 hPa
Level			

Relevant parameters of the Raikoke and Ulawun eruptions as used in the ECHAM simulations

4. Model simulations of Raikoke/Ulawun : Extinction



Aerosol extinction at 550 nm

10

simulations

-40 -20 0 20 40 60

Geographic latitude [°]



10

Time

10

-40 -20 0 20 40 60

Geographic latitude [°]

27

10

40

Geographic latitude [°]

10

-40 -20 0 20

10

4. Model simulations of Raikoke/Ulawun: Effective radius







- Possible explanations for discrepancy in longer-term aerosol size evolution:
 - possible overestimation of coagulation in the model
 - Lack of interactive OH chemistry in the model
 - Deviations in dynamics
 - Wrong assumption on aerosol composition in retrieval
- → started to compare with other models now (with sectional PSD instead of 4 modes)



- 1. Overview VolImpact research group
- 2. Aerosol size retrieval method
- 3. Aerosol size reduction after smaller volcanic eruptions
- 4. Model simulations of Raikoke/Ulawun

5. Short look at Hunga Tonga – Hunga Ha'apai

Spatial evolution of aerosol size after Hunga-Tonga





How did the size distributions change?



 Plot to the right: Characteristic PSDs before and after HT:

15°S, 22.5 km altitude, monthly mean Graphs shown:

- "Background" November 2021
- After Hunga-Tonga: June 2022
- Although σ decreased the size distribution became wider (as previously shown by the increase in absolute mode width ω)
- See more in recently submitted paper: Duchamp et al. (2023,GRL)

Observation of the aerosol plume from the 2022 Hunga Tonga - Hunga Ha'apai eruption with SAGE III/ISS

AEROSOLS SATELLITE RETRIEVAL VOLCANIC IMPACT

⊶ Clair Duchamp ⊠10, Felix Wrana, Bernard Legras, Pasquale Sellitto 10, Redha Belhadji, Christian von Savigny 10





- Some volcanic eruptions lead to a strong decrease in average stratospheric aerosol size
- This size decrease can last for many months
- MAECHAM5-HAM could well reproduce the first months of strat. aerosol size development after Raikoke and Ulawun
- The model seems to struggle to reproduce the longterm development of the stratospheric aerosol size

• We will look at different models to compare to (e.g. sectional models)





VOLIMPACT summer school on volcanic effects on atmosphere and climate

September 4 – 8, 2023 Institute of Physics University of Greifswald Germany

Topics include:

- Volcanic emissions
- Climate effects, cloud effects and dynamical effects of volcanic eruptions
- Plume and global modelling
- Volcanic dispersion modelling
- In-situ and satellite observations
- Retrieval theory

The summer school also includes modelling and remote sensing labs

Confirmed speakers:

Prof. John Burrows FRS (U Bremen) Dr. Thor Hansteen (Geomar Kiel) Prof. Jim Haywood (U Exeter) Dr. Akos Horvath (U Hamburg) Dr. Ali Hoshyaripour (KIT) Dr. Christopher Kadow (DKRZ) Prof. Dr. Kirstin Krüger (U Oslo) Dr. Alexei Rozanov (U Bremen) Prof. Pasquale Selitto (IPSL) Dr. Ghassan Taha (NASA/GSFC) Dr. Claudia Timmreck (MPI-M Hamburg) Prof. Matt Toohey (U Saskatchewan)





Application deadline: July 15, 2023

Limited to 30 participants

Funding for the summer school is provided by DFG through the research unit VOLIMPACT (FOR 2820)









35

2. Filtering out noisy data



 Uncertainties of the SAGE III/ISS aerosol extinction coefficients accounted for in terms of a defined "accuracy parameter" a:

$$a = \frac{\Delta_x}{\delta_{f_x}} \cdot \frac{\Delta_y}{\delta_{f_y}}$$

- Where Δx and Δy are the distances between the curves and δfx and δfy are the error bars of an individual measurement point
- Noisy data are filtered out at below a threshold

